

NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL.
PLEASE REFER TO THE IMPORTANT INFORMATION AT THE BACK OF THIS DOCUMENT.

PCAF public consultation on new methods and guidance

Insight Investment response
February 2025



Insight Investment responded to this consultation in February 2025. More information on the consultation can be found [here](#).

Use of proceeds structures

What is your overall impression of the method?

Very positive.

What do you like most about it?

This new market standard will help to ensure transparency and comparability in the market as asset managers and asset owners are currently using diverging approaches to tackle the issue of calculating the carbon footprints of green bonds, or ignore this altogether. The application of this method will also more accurately reflect the actual carbon footprint/intensity of those investor portfolios that are allocating to use-of-proceeds (UoP) structures such as green bonds. It will also remove the perverse effect of the existing approach to use issuer-based emissions for UoP structures, which has led green bond portfolios and green bond indices to have higher reported carbon footprints than their non-green bond counterparts due to the lack of an industry-accepted method.

What would have to change for you to have a better impression of the method?

Although the guidance mentions that the UoP accounting is particularly useful for UoP structures with low-carbon assets, it does not suggest mapping emission factors to ICMA-aligned green project activities. We feel this might potentially hinder widescale adoption of an estimation methodology. Although we understand ICMA to be a voluntary standard, we would suggest PCAF refers to mapping based on this principle.

How satisfied are you with the method? Please rate the following:

Ease of Understanding

Satisfied

Completeness

Satisfied

Applicability

Very Satisfied

Consistency

Very Satisfied

What would you change to make the method easier to understand/to be more complete/applicable/consistent? If yes, what changes would you make?

In order to improve ease of understanding, more case studies as the method pertains to green bonds and sustainability bonds would be useful, such as additional project examples and the use of the suggested emission database in practice.

In order to improve completeness, we would prefer the guidance to:

- explain how to use this accounting method where EVIC is unknown and revenue-based metrics, such as WACI, need to be used;
- how the guidance can apply to sovereign green bonds as the carbon footprint of sovereign bonds is measured against GDP PPP;
- include how to treat UoP structures that have a mix of green projects and social projects (such as sustainability bonds), and whether the latter projects should be accounted for using the issuer-based emissions if they do not have separately disclosed emissions or where emission estimates are not available; and
- be more prescriptive around the adoption of an allocation percentage in cases where the actual allocation percentage is unknown (ie emission allocation at issuance of an UoP structure where projects have not been allocated yet) –

although the guidance mentions “it is conservative to assume 100%” in this scenario, we would have preferred more prescriptive guidance.

Can you describe one or two issues that you foresee in implementing the method and which the method description didn't provide help in a sufficient way?

The method's focus on scope shifting is unclear. The guidance can elaborate if scope shifting should just be accepted or whether any treatment is required.

How satisfied are you with the section outlining the scope of the method and GHG accounting treatment? If not satisfied, can you elaborate on your concerns, and could you provide suggestions how these concerns could be addressed?

The method involves several steps and might look complex for stakeholders with limited resources or experience with greenhouse gas (GHG) accounting. Additional resources and case studies might therefore be helpful to support these stakeholders to implement the method. Offering training and workshops would also help to build capacity in GHG accounting.

How satisfied are you with the sections outlining the calculation approach for UoP structures (emissions scopes covered, attribution, equations to calculate financed emissions and data required)? If not satisfied, can you elaborate on your concerns, and could you provide suggestions how these concerns could be addressed?

Satisfied overall.

How satisfied are you with the section outlining the assessment boundary? If not satisfied, can you elaborate on your concerns, and could you provide suggestions how these concerns could be addressed?

Satisfied in general. We welcome the clarification around the argument that the UoP structure in theory also has Scope 3 emissions related to purchased services from the issuer and we agree that – in order not to overcomplicate and due to the low materiality of these emissions – these can be ignored.

How satisfied are you with the section outlining the adjustment for under-and overallocation in integrated UoP structures? If not satisfied, can you elaborate on your concerns, and could you provide suggestions how these concerns could be addressed?

Although in theory we believe that, in order to avoid undercounting of overall emissions, the issuer's emission profile should be adjusted to exclude UoP structures such as green bonds, we appreciate the guidance only suggests this as best practice. We believe this is acceptable as the perfect should not be the enemy of the good and this more lenient stance will probably increase adoption by UoP and non-UoP investors.

If applicable, could you provide a use case how this method could be applied within your institution?

We aim to use this methodology for our green, and potentially our sustainability, bonds. In this context, we will aim to engage with issuers in line with the suggestions of the methodology. If reported emission data for UoP structures is not available, we will aim to use the emission factor database or external data providers emission estimates to come up with the carbon footprint of a green bond. It is likely we will take a conservative stance and assume a 100% allocation at the time of investment into the UoP structure, irrespective of whether the underlying projects have already been allocated. However, we also realise that some UoP bonds do not meet robust standards, such as alignment with the ICMA green bond principles. In those scenarios, we will not attribute a specific UoP carbon footprint due to potential greenwashing concerns around the robustness of the UoP structure.

What do you think are the limitations of this method?

In some cases, the assumption that the UoP structure does not generate significant emissions beyond those related to the underlying assets might not be true. It might be worthwhile to suggest that financial institutions, in those instances where they believe those emissions are material, not adopt a different approach.

The guidance does not suggest how to deal with structures that are only part UoP from an environmental perspective, such as sustainability bonds which provide a mix of green UoP and social UoP. It also does not provide guidance around how to apply this methodology for sovereign bonds.

Accounting for projects without a separate balance sheet

What is your overall impression of the method?

Positive.

What do you like most about it?

It is appropriate that carbon accounting should not fully depend on the project being on the balance sheet or not. We agree with the follow-the-money approach that, when emissions can be ringfenced due to the nature of the project, separate carbon accounting can be applied

What would have to change in order for you to have a better impression of the method?

No comment.

How satisfied are you with the method? Please rate the following:

Ease of Understanding

Satisfied

Completeness

Satisfied

Applicability

Satisfied

Consistency

Satisfied

Important information

Material in this publication is for general information only. This material is not intended to be relied upon as a forecast, research or investment advice, and is not a recommendation, offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities or to adopt any investment strategy. This document must not be used for the purpose of an offer or solicitation in any jurisdiction or in any circumstances in which such offer or solicitation is unlawful or otherwise not permitted. This document should not be duplicated, amended or forwarded to a third party without consent from Insight Investment.

This material may contain 'forward looking' information that is not purely historical in nature. Such information may include, among other things, projections and forecasts. Forecasts are not guarantees.

The information and opinions are derived from proprietary and non-proprietary sources deemed by Insight Investment to be reliable, are not necessarily all-inclusive and are not guaranteed as to accuracy. As such, no warranty of accuracy or reliability is given and no responsibility arising in any other way for errors and omissions (including responsibility to any person by reason of negligence) is accepted by Insight Investment, its officers, employees or agents. Reliance upon information in this material is at the sole discretion of the reader.

Telephone conversations may be recorded in accordance with applicable laws.

For clients and prospects of Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited:

Issued by Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited. Registered in England and Wales. Registered office 160 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4LA; registered number 00827982.

For clients and prospects of Insight Investment Funds Management Limited:

Issued by Insight Investment Funds Management Limited. Registered in England and Wales. Registered office 160 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4LA; registered number 01835691.

For clients and prospects of Insight Investment Management (Europe) Limited:

Issued by Insight Investment Management (Europe) Limited. Registered office Riverside Two, 43-49 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin, D02 KV60. Registered in Ireland. Registered number 581405. Insight Investment Management (Europe) Limited is regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. CBI reference number C154503.

For clients and prospects of Insight Investment International Limited:

Issued by Insight Investment International Limited. Registered in England and Wales. Registered office 160 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4V 4LA; registered number 03169281.

Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited, Insight Investment Funds Management Limited and Insight Investment International Limited are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the UK. Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited and Insight Investment International Limited may operate in certain European countries in accordance with local regulatory requirements.

For clients and prospects based in Singapore:

This material is for Institutional Investors only. This documentation has not been registered as a prospectus with the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Accordingly, it and any other document or material in connection with the offer or sale, or invitation for subscription or purchase, of Shares may not be circulated or distributed, nor may Shares be offered or sold, or be made the subject of an invitation for subscription or purchase, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor pursuant to Section 304 of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore (the 'SFA') or (ii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA.

For clients and prospects based in Australia and New Zealand:

This material is for wholesale investors only (as defined under the Corporations Act in Australia or under the Financial Markets Conduct Act in New Zealand) and is not intended for distribution to, nor should it be relied upon by, retail investors.

Both Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited and Insight Investment International Limited are exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act 2001 in respect of the financial services; and both are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) under UK laws, which differ from Australian laws. If this document is used or distributed in Australia, it is issued by Insight Investment Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 69 076 812 381, AFS License No. 230541) located at Level 2, 1-7 Bligh Street, Sydney, NSW 2000.

For clients and prospects of Insight North America LLC:

Insight North America LLC is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and regulated by the US Securities and Exchange Commission. INA is part of 'Insight' or 'Insight Investment', the corporate brand for certain asset management companies operated by Insight Investment Management Limited including, among others, Insight Investment Management (Global) Limited, Insight Investment International Limited and Insight Investment Management (Europe) Limited (IIMEL).

© 2025 Insight Investment. All rights reserved. IC4121